### Security: I Think We Can Win!

**Bill Cheswick** 

Visiting Scholar, U Penn

#### Introduction

- Thinking about security since the Nixon administration
- Starting to get a long view of things
- Generalizations, Grumbles, Hand-waving
- Not a grant proposal, No perfect solutions, No universal solutions
- References are on the slides, see my web page for a PDF of the talk

# What is the current state of affairs in computing? Great!

- It's great!
  - banking?
  - retirement accounts
  - shopping and commerce?









FACEBOOK INC (FB)

# What is the current state of affairs? Lousy!

- Spies are all in our business
- Huge advantage to the attackers
- Crappy client operating systems
  - leaky sandboxes
  - feature-driven
- A visit to grandma's house



- Major data spills all the time
- I have to check the morning news before I give these talks

### Sick and Tired

- APT are not Advanced, but certainly Persistent and Threats
- Most of the attacks are on the same kinds of weaknesses: we are not making much progress
- Consarn it, I am becoming an old timer!

#### What Does Winning Look Like?

- Locks in London
- Spiral dives and the artificial horizon
- Vaccines: Rinderpest, Smallpox(?), Polio(?)
- Hotel room doors
- Analog phone cloning
- ATM cards
- Automobile keys

#### Winning Doesn't Mean It's Perfect

- It never does: there is no such thing
- Winning means good enough

## What winning looks like

- "Getting out of the game" Fred Grampp
- "Best block is no be there." Mr. Kesuke Miyagi (Pat Morita), Karate Kid (1984).

## What winning looks like

- You must be present to win.
- No more need for training about clicking on bad things
- More non-IT time with grandma.

### "Security people are paid to think bad thoughts"

Bob Morris

## Security paranoia

- We live in a dark world.
- A lot of thoughts are dismissed as "theoretical"
- But they end up showing up, eventually.
- Here are some examples

### Massive data spills

- Credit cards
  - TJX, Target, Home Depot, Chase, etc.
- Passwords
  - Rockyou, Facebook, Twitter, Linkedin, Google, Adobe, SnapChatDB, EverNote, Stratfor, ...

### Better than passwords

- Both are much better than passwords
- SNK-004 used symmetric key, known only to device and server
  - PIN known only to device
- SecurID's key known to device, server, RSA
- SNK was an ε better



### ε had a large value

- RSA break-in caused major attacks on a government contractor and others
- RSA had to reissue fobs
- All of this was because they relied on a (successful) business model that had a security weakness.
- RSA is not a slouch in the security business.

# "The best is the enemy of the good"

- A call for mediocrity in the name of getting something done.
  - Don't flatter yourself that your efforts are "good".
- Also, from Soul of a New Machine, "Not all jobs are worth doing right."
- This leads to...

# Cool, it works, let's use it: the history of tech.

- Ethernet, telnet, ftp, rlogin, rsh
- NFS, smb, tftp, finger, sendmail, rlogin
- First generation nuclear reactors
- aircraft, etc., etc.

# Shared libraries seem like a bad security idea

- You can change a program after it is installed
- A checksum of a binary does not ensure that it is the same program
- Makes installation in chroot(8) environment more difficult, and requires extra crap in that envinroment.

# Not working: shared and dynamic libraries

- "sshd day zero bug" in 2013 was shared library replacement attack.
- Long history of similar attacks
- implemented to save memory and load time back in the days of small memory and the X window system
- *not* worth it
- Make all your binaries static!
- Ditto DLLs

# Not working: checklists and audits

- Checklists certainly will catch oversights, but you are not secure when you are done
- PCI audits have missed major, embarrassing intrusions.
- Alas, these are often the response to our endemic problems.

#### Laws, General and Specific

- General: nice guidelines, but exactly how much protection does HIPAA demand
- Specific: see *ChecklistsI*, above
- Liability: who will be left to write any software if you demand full liability?

#### Not working: user education

- They don't (can't!) understand the complexities of the computer and making the right decisions.
- Even the experts generally lack all the information needed to make the fully-informed choice.
- Even if you do know what you are doing, we all use computers when a little tired sometimes.

# Not working: strong passwords

- Forty years of research and experience show that people can not select and remember a passphrase that is resistant to a full-blown dictionary attack; and especially not different ones for dozens of different sites.
  - More poor engineering: it just doesn't work by itself, and isn't needed when used with the right authentication tools.

### Not working: PKI

- The trusted CA list is way out of hand
- Major attacks find ways around this. Stuxnet, others.
- Try CertPatrol on Firefox to see what is going on
  - (Actually, this is a cesspool. Certificate Transparency or similar efforts?)

# Not working: perimeter security and firewalls

- 100,000 hosts is too many to protect
  - 40 is about right, for me
- Typical company has 1—2 IP addresses per employee, as of 2006
- Firewalls: low grade security. Perimeter defenses are easily penetrated, and that is probably not going to improve much.

### Measuring security

"When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge of it is of a meager and unsatisfactory kind; it may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely, in your thoughts, advanced it to the stage of science."

#### – Lord Kelvin

### Measuring security

- Safes
- Permissive Action Links (PALs)
- PIN/PW strength: entropy
- Street value of breaks

# Measuring computer security

- Long-sought, and we aren't even close
- This is still engineering, not science
- What should the answer look light? Something from graph theory? Percolation theory? Chaos theory? An Erdős paper?

#### Where to measure?

256

#### Layered Positive Measures to Assure Against Unauthorized Use



#### Measuring security March 2012

Adobe Reader	\$5,000 - \$30,000
MAC OSX	\$20,000 - \$50,000
Android	\$30,000 - \$60,000
Flash or Java Browser	\$40,000 - \$100,000
Microsoft Word	\$50,000 - \$120,000
Windows	\$60,000 - \$120,000
Firefox or Safari	\$60,000 - \$150,000
Chrome or Internet	\$80,000 - \$200,000
iOS	\$100,000 - \$250,000

Andy Greenberg, *Shopping For Zero-Days: A Price List For Hacker's Secret Software Exploits*. Forbes, 23 March 2012.

# Zerodium Day0 payouts

Sep. 3, 2019 - Payouts for major mobile exploits have been modified. Changes are highlighted below:

Category	Changes
New Payouts ( <b>Mobiles</b> )	\$2,500,000 - Android full chain (Zero-Click) with persistence (New Entry) \$500,000 - Apple iOS persistence exploits or techniques (New Entry)
Increased Payouts ( <b>Mobiles</b> )	\$1,500,000 - WhatsApp <u>RCE + LPE (Zero-Click)</u> without persistence (previously: \$1,000,000) \$1,500,000 - iMessage RCE + LPE (Zero-Click) without persistence (previously: \$1,000,000)
Decreased Payouts ( <b>Mobiles</b> )	\$1,000,000 - Apple iOS full chain (1-Click) with persistence (previously: \$1,500,000) \$500,000 - iMessage RCE + LPE (1-Click) without persistence (previously: \$1,000,000)
Desktops/Servers	No modifications.

https://zerodium.com/program.html

#### It's going to get better Why?

- We are very early in the game, despite half a century of innovation
- We mostly aren't even trying
- There's no theorem that says we can't
- It's our hardware, our software, and out network connection. We ought to be able to control it.
## This is going to get better

- I *love* living in the future
- Velcro, 12-hour nasal spray, surgical "lasers", routine rockets to LEO, astonishing computers
- Sick and tired of computer and network security problems
- Hacked for CPU seconds!
- Already a lot of good security work done
  - Time sharing, Multics
  - Spooks

# Actually, it is already getting better

- Mellissa? Blaster? Weak network services seem to be hard to find.
- Software "annealing" and sendmail(8)
- It's not so much about script kiddies any more.

## I think we can win

- Meaning build an affordable computing platform that can't be compromised by any user error not involving a screw driver
- Its our hardware, our software, and our network connection. We ought to be able to control it, dammit!
- Winning doesn't mean that your machine can't misbehave on the Internet

# Long view: it is still early in the computer revolution

- I know, I know, we aren't talking UNIVAC or "minicomputers" any more.
- The order of things: make it work, then worry about security: (It Works!)
- rlogin, NFS, X windows, MSFT before 2001.
- But look where we are in UIs: I thought we might get stuck with MSFT menus, like the QWERTY keyboard

### The car metaphor

- I didn't like it: apples and oranges
- Now I do: grapes and raisins
- Consider the Model T:

## Ford Model T (1913)

#### • 20 hp

- runs on gasoline, kerosine, and ethanol
- rear wheel drive
- two speeds, plus reverse
- grey, green, blue, and red (1909 -1913)
- 1913 model (shown) was \$550 (four months pay for an assembly line worker.



• Electric start!





Fig. 42.—The Control System of the Ford Model T Car.

### Some old-timey auto stuff

- Fading terms: choke, flood the engine, friction point, vapor lock, double-clutch
- My mother had a car you had to back up steep hills because there wasn't a fuel pump
- First seat belts (two-point) common in mid-1960s

You don't have to be a mechanic to drive your car, and you shouldn't have to be a programmer or security expert to use your computer safely.

# It's not the driver's fault if the engine catches fire

- This is an engineering problem.
- We don't accept most company claims that it is the driver's fault.



### New car troubles

- Note: cars now need the second kind of firewall
- Attacks on the CANBUS (It Works!)
  - attacks through Bluetooth, evil mp3 files, etc.
  - web search for "CANBUS security"
    - Tiffany Rad
- Here we go again

### Various industries

- Computing
  - Awkward teenage stage
- Aircraft/flight
  - Mature
- Medicine/Health
  - Very early

### Unsolved issues

- Desktop or client/server?
- Programming languages
- Programming is hard
- User interfaces

## Programming languages

- ALGOL-60, Pascal, ...?
  - I am surprised that strong type checking hasn't won
- PL360, C, ANSI C...
- C++, Java

## Programming languages

- Why didn't Modula 3 or Oberon win?
- Why do we accept languages with undefined properties. (I am looking at you, C)
- Why Perl, which looks like TECO input, which looks like TTY communications line noise?

### Java

- Why didn't Java win in the terminal and handheld?
- Bush 41-era solution, proof assurances
- Was it just weaknesses in the sandbox
  - Native methods?
- Why did Javascript win?

## Cloud computing

- Clearly there is a use for bulk computing
- Netflix is the best example: high volume, low security
- Security is going to remain an issue
- See VMs (above)

### Wrong track: virus checkers

- Virus checkers
  - Forget the halting problem (solution: ^C)
  - Like running background checks on homeless people living in your bedroom
- StackGuard and similar technologies
  - hobo-resistant rugs and furnishings
- Don't get me wrong: we need these, for now.

## Legacy problems

Inventing a New Internet: Lea

Dewayne Hendri Tetherless Acce

#### About the talk:

>From a future historical perspective, are we descendants of Icarus ciphers and codes, brilliant capabilities built on immature engineerir taking us to great heights, but systematically flawed? For a brief his a vulnerable first generation Internet platform. Which as been used science, commerce, and machines. Promising brilliant futures with 1 personalized services and immersive media. But, now our first gene

# The tyranny of legacy systems

- We can't rewrite this, it's our whole business, and our customers rely on it and want enhancements.
  - (this started as a good system)
- Case in point: Cisco IOS. You can name a bunch more.
- Successes....

# Legacy Problems

- Baudot, EBCDIC, ASCII, Latin-1
  - UTF-8, UNICODE
- Microsoft Word document formats
  - newer formats
- Cisco IOS code
  - Still running Tony Li code from Reagan days. No grammar!
- Original Macintosh code
  - rewrite using BSD. iOS?

### Legacy-shedding successes

- Macintosh rewrite, using FreeBSD as a start
- Not perfect, but easier to manage than Windows
- iOS and iPhone, rejecting old UIs
  - the security model was that apps couldn't touch other apps, or the OS. (but see below)
- Many of these efforts fail, or try to do too much.

# Still early in the computing game: terminal or desktop?

- Mainframes (Roosevelt)
- Timesharing (Kennedy)
- Minicomputers (Kennedy)
- Workstations (Reagan)
- Client/server
- X terminals and Plan 9 (Reagan)
- Palmtop (Clinton)
- Cloud computing (Bush 43)

### UI?

- Tired of listing them, but pinching/tapping/sliding is only about 10 years old
  - Microsoft is migrating away from their awful drop down menus!
- Good UIs are part of the solution

### What Works

Lessons and Suspicions (you may disagree)

### Small is better: software

- It is harder to design, build, understand, debug, document, and audit complex systems
- In current open software environment, there is ongoing pressure to add features
- Norman Wilson's IAG
  - removed 2,000 lines of code from the Unix kernel

### Small is better

- Plan 9/Inferno operating system compiled in under 20 seconds.
- Very few system calls
- Very few graphics calls
- For a taste of the approach, check out the *go* language from the same folks, at Google
  - A smart phone written in *go* would be very interesting

# Small is better: simpler hardware?

- Most people have extremely modest computation and feature requirements, most of the time
  - Wordstar ran on computers 30 years ago

What works: extremely careful programmers

- There are successful, reliable programs written in C
  - Postfix!
  - ssh?
- Not openssl

### CPUs

- No 100GHz Intel Octium processor
- Plenty of power for client crypto
- We could eschew a lot of CPU complexity for auditability and reliability
- We could use cores as separate machines, instead of coprocessors. Separate cache and memory, too.
- Simple processor is a project for a grad student

# What works: personal responsibility for the code

DONALD E. KNUTH COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CA 94305-9045	432 DATE 29 Oct 2008
DEPOSIT TO THE TONY LU	NO /256 HEXADECIMAL DOLLARS
BANK OF SAN SERRIFFE Thirty Point, Caissa Inferiore http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu.ca/~knuth/boss.html	MO /23 6 HEXADECIMAL DOLLARS
MEMO F26.135	Sauld tunt

- Knuth's personal checks
- Dockmaster: if someone breaks it, you are fired

# Works: Literate programming?

- You write a document that explains the program, algorithms, etc., with code embedded in an order natural to the description, not what the compiler wants.
- *weave* and *tangle* generate a document and a program
- Imagine a kernel lovingly described and written in this form.



### What works: software "annealing"

- Sendmail
- Postfix, in beta for a year
- ssh and its protocol
- why was openssl changed?

# Strong type checking

- My experience with BASIC, FORTRAN
  - Dykstra, then Pascal
- Too bad C won: my choice was Modula 3 or Oberon, perhaps
- Small is still beautiful.
### Are VMs okay?

- Yes, but there is a very weird security line there
- Kernels and the hardware have always been intimate pals
- If we throw away that trust, did we find all the hardware weaknesses?
- Also, DOM0 is an awful large entity to trust.



#### What works: 4 digit PINS!

- Why? Limited tries
- Robust history of success
- Only a few PINS need to be illegal

## What works: end-to-end crypto, maybe

- Johnny still can't encrypt, and there is no excuse for it
- There is plenty of compute power
- The algorithms are fine
- It solves a lot of problems

#### What works: trusted path

- how do you know you are talking to the trusted operating system?
- ctrl-alt-delete was an example
- out-of-band PIN
- make standard screens slightly taller than movie aspect ratio (16:9), and dedicate a section to trusted system messages

#### Formal methods

- These have been known for a long time (e.g. see the Orange Book.)
- We are making much better tools now.
  - Jon Anderson's work on TESLA (Temporally Enhanced System Login Assertions.)
- They are expensive and require unusual skills, but
- Once run, we can all share the results. I think they are part of the answer.

Formal methods need simpler programs

- Clean up the kernel
- Norman and the 2,000 lines of code
- Memory-mapping files? Gone!
  - My fun with the cp(1) command(!)

#### What Might A Secure World Look Like?

#### A note on Grandma



## Design goals for Grandma's computer

- There's nothing she can type, tap, swipe, or click on that will change the software she is running, or hurt her computer.
- There is nothing a remote attacker can do to her computer without having physical access to the hardware.

## Design goals for Grandma's computer (cont.)

- The software she runs can be reliably ascribed to a particular vendor, and that vendor can be confident enough to be willing to assume significant liability for misbehavior of that software.
- Alien software can be ably and reliably contained and run in a sandbox that preserves all of the above guarantees.
- Grandma has clear indications when she is surfing the web off of well-defined paths on the Internet.

## Target users for this computer

- Grandmas, for large values of grandma
- Most employees and regular computer users
- Most military clients. Grandma could run Milspec.
- Maybe 70% of the market?
- Not gamers.

# Building a computer from scratch

## Goal: be like a wise man who built his house on the rock

- Trusted hardware
- Trusted firmware
- Trusted OS
  - trustable sandbox

#### The hardware is a problem

- Relies on the trustability of the design and fabrication
- Changes to circuits by malefactors or National Security Letters
- Confident auditing of the final chips is worthwhile, but is very hard
  - We will never be able to detect subtle hardware modifications. A small dose of molybdenum?
- Good news: CPUs could be quite cheap

### Software layers

- Proved correct: BIOS, kernel, compiler, libraries, sandboxes
- Peter Neumann and others have been working on this since at least the 1970s.
- Expensive, but cheap when amortized over the whole user community.

#### Sandboxes have to be rocksolid

- Data may be need to be saved in a specific way between instantiations
  - Browser cache, history, cookies, etc. This is a tough problem
- Applications that want to break the sandbox will not work on the machine
- Such a machine is not for every one, but you probably don't want to do banking on another one

Some special purpose systems already try to do this

- aerospace and aircraft
- medical devices, but many use ancient Windows software as a trusted computing base (It Works!)
- Controller hardware, esp. since Stuxnet.

## Other solutions, if your hardware is ok

- Live CDs and thumb drives.
  - Bank with a CD/thumb drive from the bank
  - Provenance is an obvious attack

## Intranet layers: bulkheads and distrust

- 5,000 firewalls in one German company
  - >5,000 rules in each firewall!
- Simple rules: easy to describe, easy to justify, easy to audit. (And automate the audit)
  - "I didn't think you were checking."

# Where Might These Solutions Come From?

#### Microsoft?

- They certainly turned around in 2001
- Vista and Win7 appear to be vastly more secure than Windows XP
- This was a *huge* job. I don't know how much of the legacy problem they solved.

### Windows OK

- There is nothing you can click, tap, or say that will corrupt your computer.
- It should be intuitively obvious when you are not visiting a Fortune 500 web site, or a place you have never searched before.
- Offers standard services
- It could meet the specs for this dream system.

#### Do we have this already?

- Jeff Jones (MSFT) said Win 7 was much safer than corresponding Linux
- Maybe Win 8, too
- Seems like an awfully large hunk of software to declare victory, and maybe they haven't.

### Apple?

- Macintosh redesigned in late 1990s, on FreeBSD
  - Vastly improved, big market success. Does have legacy software that lagged for a while.

### Maybe iOS...

- Certainly Apple tried hard to design security into iOS, and they had a fresh start, sort of
- App isolation and app walled garden were key security goals.
- How can we tell? Measure security...

#### iPhone authentication

- The iPhone looks like a nearly ideal solution
- It is nearly always with us
- It has enough CPU power for strong crypto
- Various sensors are suitable for biometric identification and authentication
- Location information is readily available
- It seems to be somewhat resistant to attacks.

### Apple security?

larization is obtained by integrating along the *unperturbed* line of sight,

$$\psi(\hat{n}) = (1/2)\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k n^k \int_{-\infty}^{\chi_s} d\chi \left(\partial_i B_j - n^l \partial_i h_{jl}\right). \tag{4}$$

Here  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  is the mensions, and mension

Unlike Faraday rotation, the rotation due to metric perturbations is achromatic. Scalar metric perturbations, namely the

### Apple security?

- I love these devices, so I learned Rejective C and usually follow their UI advice slavishly.
- NextStep is from the late 1980s, which is okay in itself, but
  - retain count stuff went away (mostly) only a couple years ago when ARC came
  - It's not just my software that crashes

### Apple security?

- I don't see how anyone can have confidence that their non-trivial program is correct in this system.
- **AND**...they get jailbroken as soon as there is a new release. This is not a good sign.
- My best bet for the most secure clients at the moment, but it is scary

### This just in about Apple

- Forensics experts tell me it is getting harder and harder to jailbreak new Apple iOS releases. But iOS still has jailbreaks.
- Annealing in action
- A good sign
- But: hackers report secret protocol options and perhaps back doors.

### Google

- A lot of efforts in important areas, with security on their mind:
  - Android
  - Chrome
  - Chromium
- go (a nice language)

#### Android

- Android is the regular and systematic target of security research papers, probably because it is much more accessible than iOS.
- As for the apps: "the problem with folk songs is that they are written by the people." — Tom Lehrer
- It is also the basis for some brand new attempts at secure clients, like Boeing Black.

### Other players

- Any of these companies could start over, and maybe some should
- A basic operating system has approximately a \$0 billion startup cost.

#### Who Are You Gonna Call?

- Hyper-careful industrialists
  - Dean Kamen (insulin pumps, wheelchairs)
  - Elon Musk (rockets, cars)

## Academic and other research groups

- Small teams have produced very interesting operating systems, and I bet small is going to be an important part of the answer. Some examples:
  - Plan 9, Minix 3
  - Peter Neumann, DARPA CRASH program: clean slate redesign from hardware on up.
- The military has a strong interest in this, and even in disseminating the solution
  - *c.f.* Linux SE

#### Yeah but

- People make buggy code
- Programming bugs imply security bugs
- There is no evidence that our code is getting less buggy
- General computing has many requirements, and they change too often
- Karger/Thompson: On Trusting Trust

#### Yeah but

- Governance is a big concern
- Did your hardware provider get a National Security Letter?
- National debate and resultant policy, enforced

#### Yeah but

- Still have DDoS
- People can still be fooled
  - phishing

### I think we can win

- It is our hardware, and our software
- There is no law of physics that says this can't be done, and
- We have engineered reliable systems out of unreliable parts before.
- We have the home-field advantage
- Correct software can be implemented, if we are very careful

## I won't live to see all this happen

- And there will still be plenty of security problems
- You can always fool people somehow
- And every public service can be flooded by the public (DDoS)

## Security: I Think We Can Win!

#### Bill Cheswick

Visiting Scholar, U Penn

<u>ches@cheswick.com</u> <u>http://www.cheswick.com/ches/talks/index.html</u>